

Propelinear structure of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ -linear codes

J. Borges, C. Fernández-Córdoba and J. Rifà

Department of Information and Communications Engineering,

Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193-Bellaterra, Spain

(e-mail: {jborges, cfernandez, jrifa}@deic.uab.cat)

July 30, 2009

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}^n$ for any $k \geq 1$. We define a Gray map $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{kn}$ such that $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is a binary propelinear code and, hence, a Hamming-compatible group code. Moreover, Φ is the unique Gray map such that $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is Hamming-compatible group code. Using this Gray map we discuss about the nonexistence of 1-perfect binary mixed group code.

1 Introduction

Since the famous paper [3] on $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes, a large number of articles about $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear and $\mathbb{Z}_k$ -modulo codes have appeared. In more recent papers the Gray map, binary interpretation and concepts introduced in [3] have been generalized, as in [2] for example.

In [4], it is shown that linear and $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes are subclasses of the more general class of translation invariant propelinear codes. In this paper we prove that any $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ -modulo code is a binary propelinear code, but not translation invariant for $k > 2$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the preliminary concepts on distance compatibility, propelinear codes and translation invariant propelinear codes. In Section 3 we show the correspondence between $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ -modulo codes and binary propelinear codes. In section 4 we define mixed group codes and we generalize the above correspondence for these codes to study which of them can be perfect. Finally, in Section 5 we point out some remarks and conclusions.

2 Propelinear codes

Let $\mathbb{F}^n$ be the n -dimensional binary vector space. We denote by $\mathbf{0}$ the all-zero vector. As usual, the (*Hamming*) *distance* between two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$ is the number of coordinates in which they differ and denoted by $d(x, y)$. The *weight* of a vector $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ is the number of its nonzero entries $\text{wt}(x) = d(\mathbf{0}, x)$.

The concept of (*Hamming*) *distance-compatible operation* in $\mathbb{F}^n$ is defined in [5] and [1]. If $*$: $\mathbb{F}^n \times \mathbb{F}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ is such an operation, then for all $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ it should verify:

- (i) $d(v, v * e) = 1 \quad \forall e \in \mathbb{F}^n \text{ with } \text{wt}(e) = 1;$
- (ii) $v * \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} * v = v;$
- (iii) $v * e = w * e$ if and only if $v = w$, for all $e \in \mathbb{F}^n$ with $\text{wt}(e) = 1$.

If $(\mathbb{F}^n, *)$ is a group, then the operation $*$ is distance-compatible if and only if $d(v, v * u) = \text{wt}(u)$ for all vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{F}^n$. The ‘if’ part is trivial and the ‘only if’ part is shown in [1, Proposition 14]. A binary code $\mathcal{C}$ of length n is a subset of $\mathbb{F}^n$. If this subset is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}^n$, then $\mathcal{C}$ will be a linear code. In any case we will call the vectors in $\mathcal{C}$ codewords. We denote by $(\mathcal{C}, \star)$ a code in $\mathbb{F}^n$ with a group structure defined by $\star$. This operation could be nondefined in the whole space $\mathbb{F}^n$, but it could induce an action $\star : \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{F}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$.

Definition 1 Let $(\mathcal{C}, \star)$ a code in $\mathbb{F}^n$ and assume the operation $\star$ induces an action $\star : \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{F}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$. The action $\star$ is Hamming-compatible if $d(x, x \star v) = \text{wt}(v)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and for all $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$.

Definition 2 A binary code $(\mathcal{C}, \star)$ of length n is a Hamming-compatible group code if $(\mathcal{C}, \star)$ is a group and it is possible to extend $\star : \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{F}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ to a Hamming-compatible action.

Of course, given a code $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ among all the different group structures, we are interested in those being Hamming-compatible (assuming we are working with the Hamming metric). A very general class of such codes are the propelinear ones, defined in [6]:

Definition 3 Let $\mathcal{S}_n$ be the symmetric group of permutations on n elements. A (binary) code $\mathcal{C}$ of length n is said to be propelinear if for any codeword $x \in \mathcal{C}$ there is a coordinate permutation $\pi_x \in \mathcal{S}_n$ verifying the properties:

1. $x + \pi_x(y) \in \mathcal{C} \quad \text{if } y \in \mathcal{C}.$
2. $\pi_x \circ \pi_y = \pi_z \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{C}, \text{ where } z = x + \pi_x(y).$

Now, we can define the binary operation $\star : \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{F}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ such that

$$x \star y = x + \pi_x(y) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{C} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{F}^n.$$

This operation is clearly associative and closed in $\mathcal{C}$. Since, for any codeword $x \in \mathcal{C}$, $x \star y = x \star z$ implies $y = z$, we have that $x \star y \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $y \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus, there must be a codeword e such that $x \star e = x$. It follows that $e = \mathbf{0}$ is a codeword and, from 2, we deduce that $\pi_{\mathbf{0}}$ is the identity permutation. Hence, $(\mathcal{C}, \star)$ is a group, which is not Abelian in general; $\mathbf{0}$ is the identity element in $\mathcal{C}$ and $x^{-1} = \pi_x^{-1}(x)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$. Note that $\Pi = \{\pi_x \mid x \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_n$ with the usual composition of permutations.

Lemma 1 Let $(\mathcal{C}, \star)$ be a propelinear code, then

$$d(x \star u, x \star v) = d(u, v) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{C} \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbb{F}^n$$

Proof: The claim is trivial and can be found in [6] or [1]. $\square$

Lemma 2 *A binary propelinear code is a Hamming-compatible group code.*

Proof: Let $(\mathcal{C}, \star)$ be such a code. We only have to prove that the action $\star : \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{F}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ is Hamming-compatible. But this is clearly true because for any $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and any $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ we have

$$d(x, x \star v) = d(x \star \mathbf{0}, x \star v) = d(\mathbf{0}, v) = \text{wt}(v)$$

applying Lemma 1. $\square$

A propelinear code $(\mathcal{C}, \star)$ is said to be a *translation invariant code* [4] if

$$d(x, y) = d(x \star u, y \star u) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{C} \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{F}^n.$$

As can be seen in [4] the class of translation invariant propelinear codes includes linear and $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes. In fact, any translation invariant propelinear code of length n can be viewed as a group isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{k_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4^{k_2} \oplus \mathcal{Q}_8^{k_3}$; where $k_1 + 2k_2 + 4k_3 = n$ and $\mathcal{Q}_8$ is the quaternion group on eight elements. Clearly, the class of propelinear codes is more general than the class of linear codes, being in this case $\pi_x = Id$ for any codeword. We can find other exemples of propelinear structure, for instance, in [3] there are exemples of $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes (Goethals, Preparata like,...) and in [4] we can find the propelinear structure of the standard Preparata code which is not a $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear code.

3 $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ -codes as propelinear codes

There are different ways of giving a generalization of a Gray map. For instance, Carlet gives in [2] a generalization to $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$. In this paper we will give one preserving the basic property that the distance between the images of two consecutive elements is exactly one (see [3]).

Definition 4 *The Lee weight of an element $x \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, $w_L(x)$, is defined as the minimum absolute value of any representative of its class in $\mathbb{Z}_k$. The Lee distance between $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ is $d_L(x, y) = w_L(x - y)$. Clearly, $w_L(x) = d_L(x, 0)$.*

Definition 5 *A Gray map is an application $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_r \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ such that*

- (i) φ is one-to-one,
- (ii) $d(\varphi(i), \varphi(i+1)) = 1, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_r$.

Lemma 3 *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_r \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ a Gray map, then r is even.*

Proof: Let $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_r \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ defined as $\psi(i) = \text{wt}(\varphi(i)) \bmod 2$. Clearly, we can write $\psi(i) = \psi(0) + i \bmod 2$. By definition of Gray map we have $d(\varphi(r-1), \varphi(0)) = 1$ but, if r is odd, $\psi(r-1) = \psi(0) + r-1 = \psi(0) \bmod 2$ which is a contradiction. $\square$

Definition 6 *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ be a Gray map. φ is distance-preserving if $d(\varphi(i), \varphi(j)) = d_L(i, j)$ and it is weight-preserving if $\text{wt}(\varphi(i)) = w_L(i)$.*

Definition 7 Let $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ a Gray map and let $+$ the usual operation in $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$. We define the operation $\cdot$ in $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}_{2k})$ as:

$$\varphi(i) \cdot \varphi(j) = \varphi(i + j) \quad (1)$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$.

Lemma 4 Let $\varphi : (\mathbb{Z}_{2k}, +) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2^m, \cdot)$ a Gray map such that $(\varphi(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}), \cdot)$ is a Hamming-compatible code. Then φ is distance-preserving if and only if φ is weight-preserving.

Proof: Clearly, if φ is distance-preserving then is weight-preserving by definition of wt and w_L .

Suppose φ is weight-preserving, then

$$d(\varphi(i), \varphi(j)) = d(\varphi(i), \varphi(i)\varphi(j - i)) = \text{wt}(\varphi(j - i)) = w_L(j - i) = d_L(i, j)$$

□

Lemma 5 Let $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ be a Gray map such that $(\varphi(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}), \cdot)$ is a Hamming-compatible code, then $\varphi(0) = \mathbf{0}$.

Proof: Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$. We know $(\varphi(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}), \cdot)$ is Hamming-compatible so,

$$0 = d(\varphi(a), \varphi(a + 0)) = d(\varphi(a), \varphi(a) \cdot \varphi(0)) = \text{wt}(\varphi(0))$$

Now, by definition of $\text{wt}()$, we have $\varphi(0) = \mathbf{0}$. □

Theorem 1 Let $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ be a Gray map. If $(\varphi(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}), \cdot)$ is a Hamming-compatible code, then φ is distance-preserving.

Proof: By the lemma (4), we only must proof that φ is weight-preserving. Clearly, $\varphi(0) = \mathbf{0}$, $\varphi(1) = e_{i_1}$ and $\varphi(2) = e_{i_1} + e_{i_2}$ where $e_{i_s} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ is the vector with 1 in the coordinate i_s and 0 elsewhere. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ such that $\varphi(t) = e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_t} \ \forall t \leq j$ and $\text{wt}(\varphi(j + 1)) = j + 1$ (j exists because $\varphi(2k - 1) = 1$).

If $j = k$ then $\text{wt}(\varphi(i)) = i = w_L(i) \ \forall i \leq k$ and $\text{wt}(\varphi(k + i)) = d(\varphi(k), \varphi(2k + i)) = d(\varphi(k), \varphi(i)) = d(\varphi(k), \varphi(k) \cdot \varphi(k - i)) = \text{wt}(\varphi(k - i)) = k - i = w_L(k + i) \ \forall i \leq k$. So, if $j = k$, the proof is finished.

Suppose $j < k$. There exists $r \geq 1$ such that $\text{wt}(\varphi(j + i)) = \text{wt}(\varphi(j + i - 1)) + 1 \ \forall i \leq r$ and $\text{wt}(\varphi(j + r + 1)) = \text{wt}(\varphi(j + r)) + 1$. As we know, $d(\varphi(i), \varphi(j + i)) = \text{wt}(\varphi(j)) = j$, therefore $\text{wt}(\varphi(j + i)) = j - i \ \forall i \leq r$. If $r = j$ then $\text{wt}(\varphi(j + r)) = 0$ which is not possible because of the one-to-one condition of the Gray map. Then $r < j$ and $\text{wt}(\varphi(j + r)) > 1$.

In the same way, there exists $s \geq 1$ such that $\text{wt}(\varphi(j + r + i)) = \text{wt}(\varphi(j + r + i - 1)) + 1 \ \forall i \leq s$ and $\text{wt}(\varphi(j + r + s + 1)) = \text{wt}(\varphi(j + r + s)) - 1$. As we know, $d(\varphi(i), \varphi(j + r + i)) = \text{wt}(\varphi(j + r)) = j - r$, therefore $\text{wt}(\varphi(j + r + i)) = \text{wt}(\varphi(j + r)) + i = j - r + i$. If $s = r$ then $\varphi(j + r + s) = \varphi(j)$ which is not possible, so $s < r$.

We can use the same argument starting from $j + r + s$ and we always obtain images in $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$ with weights w such that $1 > w > j$. This is a contradiction with the fact that $\text{wt}(\varphi(2k - 1)) = 1$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}^n, +)$ for some $k, n \geq 1$, where $+$ is the usual addition in $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ coordinatewisely extended. We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ -modulo code or, briefly, a $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ -code. We will see a binary representation of any such code as a propelinear code.

Let $\mathbf{0}^{(i)}$ be the all-zero vector of length i and let $\mathbf{1}^{(j)}$ be the all-one vector of length j . We denote by $[\cdot]$ the concatenation, i.e. if $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_s)$, then $(x \mid y) = (x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s)$. Define the Gray map $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^k$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \phi(i) = (\mathbf{0}^{(k-i)} \mid \mathbf{1}^{(i)}) \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, k-1, \text{ and} \\ (ii) \quad & \phi(i+k) = \phi(i) + \mathbf{1}^{(k)} \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, k-1. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Define also the associated permutation on k coordinates

$$\sigma_j = (1, k, k-1, \dots, 2)^j \quad (3)$$

(i.e. j left shifts) for all vector $\phi(j)$, $j = 0, \dots, 2k-1$.

Note that this Gray map ϕ is distance-preseving and weight-preserving.

Definition 8 Let ϕ be the Gray map defined in (2). For any two elements $\phi(i), \phi(j) \in \phi(\mathbb{Z}_{2k})$ define the product

$$\phi(i) \cdot \phi(j) = \phi(i) + \sigma_i(\phi(j)) \quad (4)$$

We are going to prove that the above product is, in fact, the one defined in (1).

Lemma 6 Let ϕ be the Gray map defined in (2). Let $\phi(i) \in \phi(\mathbb{Z}_{2k})$ and $\cdot$ the product defined in (4). Then

$$\phi(i) = \phi(1)^i$$

Proof: It is easy to verify that $\phi(i) = \phi(i-1) \cdot \phi(1) = \phi(1) \cdot \phi(i-1)$. Applying this repeatedly yields the result. $\square$

Proposition 1 $(\phi(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}), \cdot)$ is a group, with ϕ and $\cdot$ defined in (2) and (4) respectively.

Proof: We have that

$$(\phi(i) \cdot \phi(j)) \cdot \phi(\ell) = (\phi(1)^i \cdot \phi(1)^j) \cdot \phi(1)^\ell = \phi(1)^{i+j+\ell} = \phi(i) \cdot (\phi(j) \cdot \phi(\ell)),$$

for all $i, j, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$. Therefore, the operation is associative.

It is clear that $\mathbf{0}^{(k)} = \phi(0)$ acts as the identity element. On the other hand, given $\phi(i) \in \phi(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}^k)$, we have that

$$\phi(i) \cdot \phi(k-i) = \phi(1)^{i+k-i} = \phi(1)^k = \phi(k) = \phi(0) = \mathbf{0}^{(k)}.$$

$\square$

Corollary 1 Let ϕ defined in (2) and $\cdot$ the operation given in (4). The map $\phi : (\mathbb{Z}_{2k}, +) \longrightarrow (\phi(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}), \cdot)$ is a group homomorphism and so the operation in (1) and (4) are the same.

Proof: Given $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$, we have

$$\phi(i + j) = \phi(1)^{i+j} = \phi(1)^i \cdot \phi(1)^j = \phi(i) \cdot \phi(j).$$

□

Theorem 2 Let $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^l$ be a Gray map. If $(\varphi(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}), \cdot)$ is a Hamming-compatible code where $\cdot$ is the operation defined in (1), then φ is unique up to coordinate permutation.

Proof: $(\varphi(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}), \cdot)$ is a Hamming-compatible code and, by Theorem 1, φ has the following properties:

- $\varphi(j) = e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_j}$, for $j = 1, \dots, k$.
- $\varphi(j + k) = \mathbf{1}^{(k)} + e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_j}$, for $j = 1, \dots, k$.

where $e_{i_s} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^l$ is the vector with 1 in the coordinate i_s and 0 elsewhere. For $j = 1, \dots, k$, let μ_j be the transposition such that $\mu_j(e_{i_j}) = e_{k-j+1}$. Let μ be the permutation whose decomposition in product of transpositions is $\mu_1 \dots \mu_k$. Now it is easy to check that $\phi = \mu \circ \varphi$, where ϕ is the map defined in (2).

□

Remark: If $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^l$ is a Gray map, we have $l \geq k$ and, by the last theorem, if $l > k$ there are useless coordinates. Thus we can assume $l = k$. ■

Definition 9 We define the extended map $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}_{2k}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{kn}$ such that $\Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n) = (\phi(j_1), \dots, \phi(j_n))$, where ϕ is defined in (2). Finally, we define the permutations $\pi_x = (\sigma_{j_1} | \dots | \sigma_{j_n})$, for $x = \Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)$, where σ_i is defined in (3).

Next theorem will prove that given a $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ -code of length n , there exists a propelinear code of length kn such that both codes are isomorphic. The isomorphism between them extends the usual structure in $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ (+) to the propelinear structure in $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$.

Theorem 3 If $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ -code, then $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is a propelinear code with associated permutation π_x for all codeword $x \in \Phi(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof: Let $x = \Phi(j_1, \dots, j_n) = (\phi(j_1), \dots, \phi(j_n))$ and $y = \Phi(i_1, \dots, i_n) = (\phi(i_1), \dots, \phi(i_n))$ be two codewords. Then,

$$x + \pi_x(y) = (\phi(j_1) + \sigma_{j_1}(\phi(i_1)), \dots, \phi(j_n) + \sigma_{j_n}(\phi(i_n))).$$

For any coordinate, say r , we have that

$$\phi(j_r) + \sigma_{j_r}(\phi(i_r)) = \phi(1)^{j_r} \phi(1)^{i_r} = \phi(1)^{j_r+i_r} = \phi(j_r + i_r).$$

Thus,

$$x + \pi_x(y) = (\phi(j_1 + i_1), \dots, \phi(j_n + i_n)) = \Phi((j_1, \dots, j_n) + (i_1, \dots, i_n)).$$

Therefore, it is clear that $x + \pi_x(y) \in \Phi(\mathcal{C})$.

On the other hand, the associated permutation of $\phi(j_r + i_r)$ is

$$\sigma_{j_r+i_r} = (1, k, k-1, \dots, 2)^{j_r+i_r} = \sigma_{j_r} \circ \sigma_{i_r},$$

hence, if $z = x + \pi_x(y)$, then $\pi_z = \pi_x \circ \pi_y$. $\square$

Corollary 2 *The map $\Phi : (\mathcal{C}, +) \longrightarrow (\Phi(\mathcal{C}), \star)$ is a group isomorphism, where $x \star y = x + \pi_x(y)$ for all $x, y \in \Phi(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof: As we have seen in the previous proof, $x \star y = \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(x) + \Phi^{-1}(y))$ and, clearly, Φ is bijective. $\square$

In [4] it is shown that linear and $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes are translation invariant. Now, we show that for $k > 2$ any $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ -code, viewed as a binary propelinear code, is not translation invariant according to the classification given in [4].

Proposition 2 *If $k > 2$ and $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}^n$, then $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is a propelinear but not translation invariant code.*

Proof: Consider the vector $z = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Then it is easy to check that $d(\mathbf{0}^{(k)} \star z, \phi(1) \star z) = 3 \neq d(\mathbf{0}^{(k)}, \phi(1)) = 1$. $\square$

We have seen that starting from a $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ -code $\mathcal{C}$, of length n , the code $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ with Φ (see Definition 9) is a propelinear code of length kn and both codes are isomorphic (Theorem 3). As we defined Φ , the minimum Hamming distance in $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is exactly the minimum Lee distance in $\mathcal{C}$ but it is at least the minimum Hamming distance in $\mathcal{C}$.

Let N be the number of codewords of $\mathcal{C}$; clearly, it is also the codewords number of $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$.

Let $R = \frac{\log_2 N}{n} = \frac{\log_2 N}{n \cdot \log_2 2k} = \frac{\log_2 N}{n(1 + \log_2 k)}$ be the information rate of $\mathcal{C}$, and let R' the information rate of $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$. We can express R' as

$$R' = \frac{\log_2 N}{kn} = \frac{1 + \log_2 k}{k} R$$

therefore R' is getting smaller than R while the value of k is raising; in fact, if $k \geq 3$ we obtain $R' < R$.

In this section we have seen that $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ -codes can be represented as binary codes. We will use this representation in the next section to give some results about codes in $\mathbb{Z}_{2i_1}^{k_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2i_r}^{k_r}$, where $\times$ denotes the direct product, and some necessary conditions to be 1-perfect codes.

4 Perfect propelinear codes

Definition 10 *A general mixed group code $\mathcal{C}$ is an additive subgroup of $G_1 \times \dots \times G_r$, where $G_1, \dots, G_r$ are finite groups. We say that a binary code $\mathcal{C}$ of length n is a mixed group code of type $(\mathbb{Z}_{2i_1}^{k_1}, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_{2i_r}^{k_r})$ if $\mathcal{C} = \Phi(C)$, where $i_1, \dots, i_r$ are the minimum value such that C is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{2i_1}^{k_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2i_r}^{k_r}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^r i_j k_j = n$. We denote $C \leq \mathbb{Z}_{2i_1}^{k_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2i_r}^{k_r}$.*

Remark: If $C \leq \mathbb{Z}_{2^{i_1}}^{k_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{i_r}}^{k_r}$ then $C = C_1 \times \cdots \times C_r$, with $C_j \leq \mathbb{Z}_{2^{i_j}}^{k_j}$. We can write $\Phi(C)$ as $(\Phi_1(C_1), \dots, \Phi_r(C_r))$ with $\Phi_j : \mathbb{Z}_{2^{i_j}}^{k_j} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{k_j i_j}$ as in Definition 9. We will denote $x \in C$ as $(x_1 | \cdots | x_r)$ where $x_j \in \Phi_j(C_j)$. ■

Theorem 4 *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a binary mixed group code of type $(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{i_1}}^{k_1}, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_{2^{i_r}}^{k_r})$ and length n . If $\mathcal{C}$ is 1-perfect, then $\mathcal{C}$ is of type $(\mathbb{Z}_2^k, \mathbb{Z}_4^{(n-k)/2})$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a binary mixed group code of type $(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{i_1}}^{k_1}, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_{2^{i_r}}^{k_r})$. Suppose there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $i_j > 2$. Without loss of generality we will assume $j = 1$ and $k_j = 1$.

Let $x = (10 \cdots 01 | 0 \cdots 0 | \cdots | 0 \cdots 0) \in \mathbb{F}^n$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is 1-perfect, then there exists $y \in C$ such that $d(x, \Phi(y)) \leq 1$. As the minimum weight in $\mathcal{C}$ is 3 and the distance of x must be at most 1, the only possibility is $i_1 = 3$ and $\Phi(y) = (111 | 0 \cdots 0 | \cdots | 0 \cdots 0)$, therefore $C = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r$ where G_1 is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_6$ and $3 \in G_1$. The only subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_6$ that contain 3 are $\{0, 3\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_6$. We assume $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}_6$; otherwise, $G_1 = \{0, 3\}$ would be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $u = (101100 \cdots 0)$, $v = (101010 \cdots 0) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ (where customary commas have been deleted); $u, v \notin C$. The only codewords at distance 1 of u and v are, respectively, $(111100 \cdots 0)$ and $(111010 \cdots 0)$ but the distance between them is 2 which is not possible if $\mathcal{C}$ is 1-perfect. □

1-perfect binary mixed codes of type $(\mathbb{Z}_2^k, \mathbb{Z}_4^{(n-k)/2})$ are called 1-perfect additive codes and they are studied in [1].

5 Conclusions

It is well known the usual Gray map from $\mathbb{Z}_4$ to $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ (see [2], [3] and [7]) but there are different ways of giving a generalization from $\mathbb{Z}_r$ to $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$. The generalization given in this paper has the property to be distance-preserving, considering the Lee distance in $\mathbb{Z}_r$ and the Hamming distance in $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$. However there could be other kind of generalizations, perhaps the most important to be considered are those where the distance in $\mathbb{Z}_r$ is different to the Lee distance or, merely, where the distance between 0 and $r - 1$ is not 1.

Let $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_r \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ be the Gray map, and let $(\phi(\mathbb{Z}_r), \cdot)$ (defined in (1)) be a Hamming-compatible code. We know that r is even ($r = 2k$) and, without useless coordinates, m is exactly k . We have proved that such a Gray map is, in fact, unique up to coordinate permutation and we have used this to give some results on $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ -codes.

Given a $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ -code of length n , there exists a binary propelinear code of length kn such that both codes are isomorphic. In this way codes in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{i_1}}^{k_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{i_r}}^{k_r}$ (or mixed groups of type $(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{i_1}}^{k_1}, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_{2^{i_r}}^{k_r})$) could be represented as binary codes. Finally we have seen that if such a code is 1-perfect then, necessarily, it is a code of type $(\mathbb{Z}_2^{k_1}, \mathbb{Z}_4^{k_2})$.

As we have seen at the end of the Section 3, the representation of a $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ -code as a binary code is not efficient enough because the information rate which is R in the first code, become $\frac{1 + \log_2 k}{k} R$ in the second one, that is lower. From this point of view, as we have seen that the representation of a $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ -code is unique,

we should look for other alternatives, apart from Gray maps, to represent a $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ -code as a binary code.

References

- [1] J. Borges and J. Rifà: A characterization of 1-perfect additive codes. *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, **45**(5):1688-1697 (1999).
- [2] C. Carlet, “ $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ -Linear codes,” *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 44, pp. 1543-1547, 1998.
- [3] A.R. Hammons, P.V. Kumar, A.R. Calderbank, N.J.A. Sloane and P. Solé, “The $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linearity of kerdock, preparata, goethals and related codes,” *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 40, pp. 301-319, 1994.
- [4] J. Pujol and J. Rifà, “Translation invariant propelinear codes,” *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 43, pp. 590-598, 1997.
- [5] J. Rifà: Well-ordered Steiner triple systems and 1-perfect partitions of the n -cube. *SIAM J. Discrete Mathematics*, **12**(1):35-47 (1999).
- [6] J. Rifà, J.M. Basart and L. Huguët, “On completely regular propelinear codes,” in *Proc. 6th International Conference, AAECC-6*. 1989, number 357 in LNCS, pp. 341-355, Springer-Verlag.
- [7] Zhe-Xian Wan, “Quaternary Codes,” World Scientific, 1997.